

A few aspects of analysis on metric spaces

Stephen Semmes

Contents

1	Metric spaces and Lipschitz functions	1
2	Lipschitz functions of order α	4
3	Some functions on the real line	6
4	Sums on general metric spaces	10
5	The Zygmund class	11
6	Approximation operators, 1	13
7	Approximation operators, 2	14
8	A kind of Calderón–Zygmund decomposition related to Lipschitz functions	16

1 Metric spaces and Lipschitz functions

Let $(M, d(x, y))$ be a metric space. Thus M is a nonempty set, $d(x, y)$ is a nonnegative real-valued function on $M \times M$ which is equal to 0 exactly when $x = y$, $d(x, y)$ is symmetric in x and y , so that $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in M$, and $d(x, y)$ satisfies the triangle inequality,

$$(1.1) \quad d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$$

for all x, y , and z in M . If x is an element of M and r is a positive real number, then we write $B(x, r)$ and $\overline{B}(x, r)$ for the open and closed balls in M with center x and radius r , i.e.,

$$(1.2) \quad B(x, r) = \{y \in M : d(x, y) < r\}, \quad \overline{B}(x, r) = \{y \in M : d(x, y) \leq r\}.$$

Suppose that $f(x)$ is a real or complex-valued function on M , and that L is a nonnegative real number. We say that f is *L-Lipschitz* if

$$(1.3) \quad |f(x) - f(y)| \leq L d(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in M$. We also simply say that f is Lipschitz if it is *L-Lipschitz* for some L . If f is Lipschitz, then we define $\|f\|_{\text{Lip}}$ to be the supremum of

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)}$$

over all $x, y \in M$, where this ratio is replaced with 0 when $x = y$. Thus f is $\|f\|_{\text{Lip}}$ -Lipschitz when f is Lipschitz, and this is the smallest choice of L for which f is *L-Lipschitz*. Note that $\|\cdot\|_{\text{Lip}}$ is a seminorm, so that

$$(1.5) \quad \|a f + b g\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq |a| \|f\|_{\text{Lip}} + |b| \|g\|_{\text{Lip}}$$

for all constants a, b and Lipschitz functions f, g on M . Also, $\|f\|_{\text{Lip}} = 0$ if and only if f is a constant function on M .

If f and g are real-valued *L-Lipschitz* functions on M , then $\max(f, g)$ and $\min(f, g)$ are *L-Lipschitz* functions too. Let us check this for $\max(f, g)$. It is enough to show that

$$(1.6) \quad \max(f, g)(x) - \max(f, g)(y) \leq L d(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in M$, i.e., one can interchange the roles of x and y to get an inequality in the opposite direction. Assume, for the sake of definiteness, that $\max(f, g)(x) = f(x)$. Then we have

$$(1.7) \quad \max(f, g)(x) = f(x) \leq f(y) + L d(x, y) \leq \max(f, g)(y) + L d(x, y),$$

which is what we wanted.

Here is a generalization of this fact.

Lemma 1.8 *Let $\{f_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in A}$ be a family of real-valued functions on M which are all L -Lipschitz for some $L \geq 0$. Assume also that there is point p in M such that the set of real numbers $\{f_\sigma(p) : \sigma \in A\}$ is bounded from above. Then the set $\{f_\sigma(x) : \sigma \in A\}$ is bounded from above for every x in M (but not uniformly in x in general), and $\sup\{f_\sigma(x) : \sigma \in A\}$ is an L -Lipschitz function on M .*

Indeed, because f_σ is L -Lipschitz for all σ in A , we have that

$$(1.9) \quad f_\sigma(x) \leq f_\sigma(y) + L d(x, y)$$

for all x, y in M . Applying this to $y = p$, we see that $\{f_\sigma(x) : \sigma \in A\}$ is bounded from above for every x , because of the corresponding property for p . If $F(x) = \sup\{f_\sigma(x) : \sigma \in A\}$, then

$$(1.10) \quad F(x) \leq F(y) + L d(x, y)$$

for all x, y in M , so that F is L -Lipschitz on M .

For the record, let us write down the analogous statement for infima of L -Lipschitz functions.

Lemma 1.11 *Let $\{f_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in A}$ be a family of real-valued functions on M which are all L -Lipschitz for some $L \geq 0$. Assume also that there is point q in M such that the set of real numbers $\{f_\sigma(q) : \sigma \in A\}$ is bounded from below. Then the set $\{f_\sigma(x) : \sigma \in A\}$ is bounded from below for every x in M , and $\inf\{f_\sigma(x) : \sigma \in A\}$ is an L -Lipschitz function on M .*

For any point w in M , $d(x, w)$ defines a 1-Lipschitz function of x on M . This can be shown using the triangle inequality. Suppose now that $f(x)$ is an L -Lipschitz function on M . For each $w \in M$, define $f_w(x) = f(w) + L d(x, w)$. The fact that f is L -Lipschitz implies that

$$(1.12) \quad f(x) \leq f_w(x) \quad \text{for all } x, w \in M.$$

Of course $f_x(x) = f(x)$, and hence

$$(1.13) \quad f(x) = \inf\{f_w(x) : w \in M\}.$$

Each function $f_w(x)$ is L -Lipschitz in x , since $d(x, w)$ is 1-Lipschitz in w .

Similarly, we can set $\tilde{f}_w(x) = f(x) - L d(x, w)$, and then we have that

$$(1.14) \quad f(x) = \sup\{\tilde{f}_w(x) : w \in M\},$$

and that $\tilde{f}_w(x)$ is an L -Lipschitz function of x for every w .

Here is a variant of these themes. Let E be a nonempty subset of M , and suppose that f is a real-valued function on E which is L -Lipschitz, so that

$$(1.15) \quad |f(x) - f(y)| \leq L d(x, y)$$

for all x, y in M . For each w in E , set $f_w(x) = f(x) + L d(x, w)$ and $\tilde{f}_w(x) = f(x) - L d(x, w)$. Consider

$$(1.16) \quad F(x) = \inf\{f_w(x) : w \in E\}, \quad \tilde{F}(x) = \sup\{\tilde{f}_w(x) : w \in E\},$$

for x in M . For the same reasons as before, $F(x) = \tilde{F}(x) = f(x)$ when x lies in E . Using Lemmas 1.8 and 1.11, one can check that F and $\tilde{F}$ are L -Lipschitz real-valued functions on all of M , i.e., they are extensions of f from E to M with the same Lipschitz constant L .

If $H(x)$ is any other real-valued function on M which agrees with f on E and is L -Lipschitz, then

$$(1.17) \quad \tilde{f}_w(x) \leq H(x) \leq f_w(x)$$

for all w in E and x in M , and hence

$$(1.18) \quad \tilde{F}(x) \leq H(x) \leq F(x)$$

for all x in M .

Remark 1.19 If S is any nonempty subset of M , define $\text{dist}(x, S)$ for x in M by

$$(1.20) \quad \text{dist}(x, S) = \inf_{y \in S} d(x, y).$$

This function is always 1-Lipschitz in x , by Lemma 1.11.

2 Lipschitz functions of order α

Let $(M, d(x, y))$ be a metric space, and let α be a positive real number. A real or complex-valued function f on M is said to be *Lipschitz of order α* if there is nonnegative real number L such that

$$(2.1) \quad |f(x) - f(y)| \leq L d(x, y)^\alpha$$

for all $x, y \in M$. This reduces to the Lipschitz condition discussed in Section 1 when $\alpha = 1$. We shall sometimes write $\text{Lip } \alpha$ for the collection of Lipschitz functions of order α , which might be real or complex valued, depending on the context. One also sometimes refers to these functions as being “Hölder continuous of order α ”.

If f is Lipschitz of order α , then we define $\|f\|_{\text{Lip } \alpha}$ to be the supremum of

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)^\alpha}$$

over all $x, y \in M$, where this quantity is replaced with 0 when $x = y$. In other words, $\|f\|_{\text{Lip } \alpha}$ is the smallest choice of L so that (2.1) holds for all $x, y \in M$. This defines a seminorm on the space of Lipschitz functions of order α , as before, with $\|f\|_{\text{Lip } \alpha} = 0$ if and only if f is constant. Of course $\|f\|_{\text{Lip } 1}$ is the same as $\|f\|_{\text{Lip}}$ from Section 1.

If f and g are real-valued functions on M which are Lipschitz of order α with constant L , then $\max(f, g)$ and $\min(f, g)$ are also Lipschitz of order α with constant L . This can be shown in the same manner as for $\alpha = 1$. Similarly, the analogues of Lemmas 1.8 and 1.11 for Lipschitz functions of order α hold for essentially the same reasons as before.

However, if $\alpha > 1$, it may be that the only functions that are Lipschitz of order α are the constant functions. This is the case when $M = \mathbf{R}^n$, for instance, equipped with the standard Euclidean metric, because a function in $\text{Lip } \alpha$ with $\alpha > 1$ has first derivatives equal to 0 everywhere. Instead of using derivatives, it is not hard to show that the function has to be constant through more direct calculation too.

This problem does not occur when $\alpha < 1$.

Lemma 2.3 *If $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and a, b are nonnegative real numbers, then $(a + b)^\alpha \leq a^\alpha + b^\alpha$.*

To see this, observe that

$$(2.4) \quad \max(a, b) \leq (a^\alpha + b^\alpha)^{1/\alpha},$$

and hence

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} a + b &\leq \max(a, b)^{1-\alpha} (a^\alpha + b^\alpha) \\ &\leq (a^\alpha + b^\alpha)^{1+(1-\alpha)/\alpha} = (a^\alpha + b^\alpha)^{1/\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.6 *If $(M, d(x, y))$ is a metric space and α is a real number such that $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, then $d(x, y)^\alpha$ also defines a metric on M .*

This is easy to check. The main point is that $d(x, y)^\alpha$ satisfies the triangle inequality, because of Lemma 2.3 and the triangle inequality for $d(x, y)$.

A function f on M is Lipschitz of order α with respect to the original metric $d(x, y)$ if and only if it is Lipschitz of order 1 with respect to $d(x, y)^\alpha$, and with the same norm. In particular, for each w in M , $d(x, w)^\alpha$ satisfies (2.1) with $L = 1$ when $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, because of the triangle inequality for $d(u, v)^\alpha$.

3 Some functions on the real line

Fix α , $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. For each nonnegative integer n , consider the function

$$(3.1) \quad 2^{-n\alpha} \exp(2^n i x)$$

on the real line $\mathbf{R}$, where $\exp u$ denotes the usual exponential e^u . Let us estimate the $\text{Lip } \alpha$ norm of this function.

Recall that

$$(3.2) \quad |\exp(i u) - \exp(i v)| \leq |u - v|$$

for all $u, v \in \mathbf{R}$. Indeed, one can write $\exp(i u) - \exp(i v)$ as the integral between u and v of the derivative of $\exp(i t)$, and this derivative is $i \exp(i t)$, which has modulus equal to 1 at every point.

Thus, for any $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$, we have that

$$(3.3) \quad |2^{-n\alpha} \exp(2^n i x) - 2^{-n\alpha} \exp(2^n i y)| \leq 2^{n(1-\alpha)} |x - y|.$$

Of course

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & |2^{-n\alpha} \exp(2^n i x) - 2^{-n\alpha} \exp(2^n i y)| \\ & \leq 2^{-n\alpha} |\exp(2^n i x)| + 2^{-n\alpha} |\exp(2^n i y)| = 2^{-n\alpha+1} \end{aligned}$$

as well. As a result,

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & |2^{-n\alpha} \exp(2^n i x) - 2^{-n\alpha} \exp(2^n i y)| \\ & \leq \left(2^{n(1-\alpha)} |x - y| \right)^\alpha \left(2^{-n\alpha+1} \right)^{1-\alpha} = 2^{1-\alpha} |x - y|^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the function (3.1) has Lip α norm (with respect to the standard Euclidean metric on $\mathbf{R}$) which is at most $2^{1-\alpha}$. In the opposite direction, if $2^n(x - y) = \pi$, then

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & |2^{-n\alpha} \exp(2^n i x) - 2^{-n\alpha} \exp(2^n i y)| \\ &= 2^{-n\alpha} |\exp(2^n i x) - \exp(2^n i y)| \\ &= 2^{-n\alpha+1} = 2\pi^{-\alpha} |x - y|^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

so that the Lip α norm is at least $2\pi^{-\alpha}$.

Now suppose that $f(x)$ is a complex-valued function on $\mathbf{R}$ of the form

$$(3.7) \quad f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n 2^{-n\alpha} \exp(2^n i x),$$

where the a_n 's are complex numbers. We assume that the a_n 's are bounded, which implies that the series defining $f(x)$ converges absolutely for each x . Set

$$(3.8) \quad A = \sup_{n \geq 0} |a_n|.$$

Let m be a nonnegative integer. For each x in $\mathbf{R}$ we have that

$$(3.9) \quad \left| \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} a_n 2^{-n\alpha} \exp(2^n i x) \right| \leq \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} A 2^{-n\alpha} = A (1 - 2^{-\alpha})^{-1} 2^{-m\alpha}.$$

If $m \geq 1$ and $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$, then (3.2) yields

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} a_n 2^{-n\alpha} \exp(2^n i x) - \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} a_n 2^{-n\alpha} \exp(2^n i y) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} A 2^{n(1-\alpha)} |x - y| \leq A 2^{(m-1)(1-\alpha)} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j(1-\alpha)} \right) |x - y| \\ & = A 2^{(m-1)(1-\alpha)} (1 - 2^{-(1-\alpha)})^{-1} |x - y|. \end{aligned}$$

Here we should assume that $\alpha < 1$, to get the convergence of $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j(1-\alpha)}$.

Fix $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$. If $|x - y| > 1/2$, then we apply (3.9) with $m = 0$ to both x and y to get that

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(y)| &\leq |f(x)| + |f(y)| \leq 2A(1 - 2^{-\alpha})^{-1} \\ &\leq 2^{1+\alpha} A (1 - 2^{-\alpha})^{-1} |x - y|^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Assume now that $|x - y| \leq 1/2$, and choose $m \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ so that

$$(3.12) \quad 2^{-m-1} < |x - y| \leq 2^{-m}.$$

Combining (3.9) and (3.10), with (3.9) applied to both x and y , we obtain that

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(y)| &\leq 2 A (1 - 2^{-\alpha})^{-1} 2^{-m\alpha} + A 2^{(m-1)(1-\alpha)} (1 - 2^{-(1-\alpha)})^{-1} |x - y| \\ &\leq 2^{1+\alpha} A (1 - 2^{-\alpha})^{-1} |x - y|^\alpha + A 2^{-(1-\alpha)} (1 - 2^{-(1-\alpha)})^{-1} |x - y|^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$, we have that

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(y)| &\leq A(2^{1+\alpha} (1 - 2^{-\alpha})^{-1} + 2^{-(1-\alpha)} (1 - 2^{-(1-\alpha)})^{-1}) |x - y|^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

when $0 < \alpha < 1$. In other words, f is Lipschitz of order α , and

$$(3.15) \quad \|f\|_{\text{Lip } \alpha} \leq \left(\sup_{n \geq 0} |a_n| \right) (2^{1+\alpha} (1 - 2^{-\alpha})^{-1} + 2^{-(1-\alpha)} (1 - 2^{-(1-\alpha)})^{-1}).$$

To get an inequality going in the other direction we shall compute as follows. Let $\psi(x)$ be a function on $\mathbf{R}$ such that the Fourier transform $\widehat{\psi}(\xi)$ of ψ ,

$$(3.16) \quad \widehat{\psi}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \exp(i \xi x) \psi(x) dx$$

is a smooth function which satisfies $\widehat{\psi}(1) = 1$ and $\widehat{\psi}(\xi) = 0$ when $0 \leq \xi \leq 1/2$ and when $\xi \geq 2$. One can do this with $\psi(x)$ in the Schwartz class of smooth functions such that $\psi(x)$ and all of its derivatives are bounded by constant multiples of $(1 + |x|)^{-k}$ for every positive integer k .

For each nonnegative integer j , let us write $\psi_{2^j}(x)$ for the function $2^j \psi(2^j x)$.

Thus

$$(3.17) \quad \widehat{\psi_{2^j}}(\xi) = \widehat{\psi}(2^{-j} \xi).$$

In particular, $\widehat{\psi_{2^j}}(2^j) = 1$, and $\widehat{\psi_{2^j}}(2^l) = 0$ when l is a nonnegative integer different from j . Hence

$$(3.18) \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(x) \psi_{2^j}(x) dx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n 2^{-n\alpha} \widehat{\psi_{2^j}}(2^n) = a_j 2^{-j\alpha}.$$

On the other hand,

$$(3.19) \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}} \psi_{2^j}(x) dx = \widehat{\psi_{2^j}}(0) = \widehat{\psi}(0) = 0,$$

so that

$$(3.20) \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(x) \psi_{2^j}(x) dx = \int_{\mathbf{R}} (f(x) - f(0)) \psi_{2^j}(x) dx.$$

Therefore

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(x) \psi_{2^j}(x) dx \right| &\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}} |f(x) - f(0)| |\psi_{2^j}(x)| dx \\ &\leq \|f\|_{\text{Lip } \alpha} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |x|^\alpha |\psi_{2^j}(x)| dx \\ &= \|f\|_{\text{Lip } \alpha} 2^{-j\alpha} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |x|^\alpha |\psi(x)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (3.18), we obtain that

$$(3.22) \quad |a_j| \leq \|f\|_{\text{Lip } \alpha} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |x|^\alpha |\psi(x)| dx$$

for all nonnegative integers j . The integral on the right side converges, because of the decay property of ψ .

If $\alpha = 1$, then let us pass to the derivative and write

$$(3.23) \quad f'(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n i \exp 2^n i x$$

(where one should be careful about the meaning of f' and of this series). This leads to

$$(3.24) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(x)|^2 dx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2.$$

The main idea is that

$$(3.25) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \leq \|f\|_{\text{Lip } 1}^2$$

if f is Lipschitz. Conversely, if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 < \infty$, then the derivative of f exists in an L^2 sense, and in fact one can show that f' has “vanishing mean oscillation”.

4 Sums on general metric spaces

Let $(M, d(x, y))$ be a metric space. For each integer n , suppose that we have chosen a complex-valued Lipschitz function $\beta_n(x)$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \sup_{x \in M} |\beta_n(x)| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\beta\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq 2^n.$$

Fix a real number α , $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Let a_n , $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ be a family (or doubly-infinite sequence) of complex numbers which is bounded, and set

$$(4.2) \quad A = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} |a_n|.$$

Consider

$$(4.3) \quad f(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} a_n 2^{-n\alpha} \beta_n(x).$$

The sum on the right side does not really converge in general, although it would if we restricted ourselves to n greater than any fixed number, because of the bound on $\beta_n(x)$. However, this sum does converge “modulo constants”, in the sense that the sum in

$$(4.4) \quad f(x) - f(y) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} a_n 2^{-n\alpha} (\beta_n(x) - \beta_n(y)),$$

converges absolutely for all x, y in M .

To see this, suppose that k is any integer. For $n \geq k$ we have that

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} |a_n| 2^{-n\alpha} |\beta_n(x)| \leq A (1 - 2^{-\alpha})^{-1} 2^{-k\alpha},$$

and similarly for y instead of x . For $n \leq k-1$ we have that

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{k-1} |a_n| 2^{-n\alpha} |\beta_n(x) - \beta_n(y)| &\leq A \sum_{n=-\infty}^{k-1} 2^{n(1-\alpha)} d(x, y) \\ &= A 2^{(k-1)(1-\alpha)} (1 - 2^{-(1-\alpha)})^{-1} d(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} |a_n| 2^{-n\alpha} |\beta_n(x) - \beta_n(y)| \\ \leq A (1 - 2^{-\alpha})^{-1} 2^{-k\alpha} + A 2^{(k-1)(1-\alpha)} (1 - 2^{-(1-\alpha)})^{-1} d(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $k \in \mathbf{Z}$.

5 The Zygmund class

Let $f(x)$ be a real or complex-valued function on the real line. We say that f lies in the *Zygmund class* Z if f is continuous and there is a nonnegative real number L such that

$$(5.1) \quad |f(x+h) + f(x-h) - 2f(x)| \leq L|h|$$

for all $x, h \in \mathbf{R}$. In this case, the seminorm $\|f\|_Z$ is defined to be the supremum of

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{|f(x+h) + f(x-h) - 2f(x)|}{|h|}$$

over all $x, h \in \mathbf{R}$ with $h \neq 0$. This is the same as the smallest L so that (5.1) holds. Clearly f is in the Zygmund class when f is Lipschitz (of order 1), with $\|f\|_Z \leq 2\|f\|_{\text{Lip}}$.

Suppose that $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence of complex numbers, and consider the function $f(x)$ on $\mathbf{R}$ defined by

$$(5.3) \quad f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n 2^{-n} \exp(2^n i x).$$

Let us check that f lies in the Zygmund class, with $\|f\|_Z$ bounded in terms of

$$(5.4) \quad A = \sup_{n \geq 0} |a_n|.$$

Note that f is continuous.

Observe that

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\exp(i(u+v)) + \exp(i(u-v)) - 2\exp(iu)| \\ &= |\exp(iv) + \exp(-iv) - 2| \end{aligned}$$

for all real numbers u, v , and that

$$(5.6) \quad \exp(iv) + \exp(-iv) - 2 = \int_0^v i(\exp(it) - \exp(-it)) dt$$

when $v \geq 0$. Since $|\exp(it) - \exp(-it)| \leq 2t$ for $t \geq 0$, we obtain that

$$(5.7) \quad |\exp(iv) + \exp(-iv) - 2| \leq \int_0^v 2t dt = v^2.$$

Hence

$$(5.8) \quad |\exp(i(u+v)) + \exp(i(u-v)) - 2\exp(iu)| \leq v^2,$$

and this works for all real numbers u, v , since there is no real difference between $v \geq 0$ and $v \leq 0$.

Let x and h be real numbers, and let m be a nonnegative integer. From (5.8) we get that

$$(5.9) \quad \left| \sum_{n=0}^m a_n 2^{-n} (\exp(2^n i(x+h)) + \exp(2^n i(x-h)) - 2\exp(2^n i x)) \right| \\ \leq A \sum_{n=0}^m 2^{-n} 2^{2n} |h|^2 \leq A 2^{m+1} |h|^2.$$

If $|h| \geq 1/2$, then

$$(5.10) \quad |f(x+h) + f(x-h) - 2f(x)| \\ \leq |f(x+h)| + |f(x-h)| + 2|f(x)| \leq 4A \leq 8A|h|.$$

If $|h| \leq 1/2$, then choose a positive integer m such that $2^{-m-1} \leq |h| \leq 2^{-m}$. We can write $f(x+h) + f(x-h) - 2f(x)$ as

$$(5.11) \quad \sum_{n=0}^m a_n 2^{-n} (\exp(2^n i(x+h)) + \exp(2^n i(x-h)) - 2\exp(2^n i x)) \\ + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n 2^{-n} (\exp(2^n i(x+h)) + \exp(2^n i(x-h)) - 2\exp(2^n i x)).$$

This leads to

$$(5.12) \quad |f(x+h) + f(x-h) - 2f(x)| \\ \leq |f(x+h)| + |f(x-h)| + 2|f(x)| \\ \leq A 2^{m+1} |h|^2 + 4A 2^{-m} \\ \leq A \cdot 2 \cdot |h| + 4 \cdot A \cdot 2 \cdot |h| = 10A|h|.$$

This shows that f lies in the Zygmund class, with constant less than or equal to $10A$.

6 Approximation operators, 1

Let $(M, d(x, y))$ be a metric space. Fix a real number α , $0 < \alpha < 1$, and let f be a real-valued function on M which is Lipschitz of order α . For each positive real number L , define $A_L(f)$ by

$$(6.1) \quad A_L(f)(x) = \inf\{f(w) + L d(x, w) : w \in M\}$$

for all x in M .

For arbitrary x, w in M we have that

$$(6.2) \quad f(w) \geq f(x) - \|f\|_{\text{Lip } \alpha} d(x, w)^\alpha.$$

As a result,

$$(6.3) \quad f(w) + L d(x, w) \geq f(x)$$

when $L d(x, w)^{1-\alpha} \geq \|f\|_{\text{Lip } \alpha}$. Thus we can rewrite (6.1) as

$$(6.4) \quad A_L(f)(x) = \inf\{f(w) + L d(x, w) : w \in M, L d(x, w)^{1-\alpha} \leq \|f\|_{\text{Lip } \alpha}\},$$

i.e., one gets the same infimum over this smaller range of w 's. In particular, the set of numbers whose infimum is under consideration is bounded from below, so that the infimum is finite.

Because we can take $w = x$ in the infimum, we automatically have that

$$(6.5) \quad A_L(f)(x) \leq f(x)$$

for all x in M . In the other direction, (6.2) and (6.4) lead to

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{aligned} A_L(f)(x) &\geq f(x) - \|f\|_{\text{Lip } \alpha} \left(\frac{\|f\|_{\text{Lip } \alpha}}{L} \right)^{\alpha/(1-\alpha)} \\ &= f(x) - \|f\|_{\text{Lip } \alpha}^{1/(1-\alpha)} L^{-\alpha/(1-\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have that $A_L(f)$ is L -Lipschitz on M , as in Lemma 1.11.

Suppose that $h(x)$ is a real-valued function on M which is L -Lipschitz and satisfies $h(x) \leq f(x)$ for all x in M . Then

$$(6.7) \quad h(x) \leq h(w) + L d(x, w) \leq f(w) + L d(x, w)$$

for all x, w in M . Hence

$$(6.8) \quad h(x) \leq A_L(f)(x)$$

for all x in M .

Similarly, one can consider

$$(6.9) \quad B_L(f)(x) = \sup\{f(w) - L d(x, w) : w \in M\},$$

and show that

$$(6.10) \quad B_L(f)(x) = \sup\{f(w) - L d(x, w) : w \in M, L d(x, w)^{1-\alpha} \leq \|f\|_{\text{Lip } \alpha}\}.$$

This makes it clear that the supremum is finite. As before,

$$(6.11) \quad f(x) \leq B_L(f)(x) \leq f(x) + \|f\|_{\text{Lip } \alpha}^{1/(1-\alpha)} L^{-\alpha/(1-\alpha)},$$

and $B_L(f)$ is L -Lipschitz. If $h(x)$ is a real-valued function on M which is L -Lipschitz and satisfies $f(x) \leq h(x)$ for all x in M , then

$$(6.12) \quad B_L(f)(x) \leq h(x)$$

for all x in M .

7 Approximation operators, 2

Let $(M, d(x, y))$ be a metric space, and let μ be a positive Borel measure on M . We shall assume that μ is a *doubling* measure, which means that there is a positive real number C such that

$$(7.1) \quad \mu(B(x, 2r)) \leq C \mu(B(x, r))$$

for all x in M and positive real numbers r , and that the μ -measure of any open ball is positive and finite.

Let t be a positive real number. Define a function $p_t(x, y)$ on $M \times M$ by

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} p_t(x, y) &= 1 - t^{-1}d(x, y) && \text{when } d(x, y) \leq t \\ &= 0 && \text{when } d(x, y) > t, \end{aligned}$$

and put

$$(7.3) \quad \rho_t(x) = \int_M p_t(x, y) d\mu(y).$$

This is positive for every x in M , because of the properties of μ . Also put

$$(7.4) \quad \phi_t(x, y) = \rho_t(x)^{-1} p_t(x, y),$$

so that

$$(7.5) \quad \int_M \phi_t(x, y) d\mu(y) = 1$$

for all x in M by construction.

Fix a real number α , $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, and let f be a complex-valued function on M which is Lipschitz of order α . Define $P_t(f)$ on M by

$$(7.6) \quad P_t(f)(x) = \int_M \phi_t(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y).$$

Because of (7.5),

$$(7.7) \quad P_t(f)(x) - f(x) = \int_M \phi_t(x, y) (f(y) - f(x)) d\mu(y),$$

and hence

$$(7.8) \quad \begin{aligned} |P_t(f)(x) - f(x)| &\leq \int_M \phi_t(x, y) |f(y) - f(x)| d\mu(y) \\ &\leq \int_M \phi_t(x, y) \|f\|_{\text{Lip } \alpha} t^\alpha d\mu(y) = \|f\|_{\text{Lip } \alpha} t^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

In the second step we employ the fact that $\phi_t(x, y) = 0$ when $d(x, y) \geq t$.

Suppose that x and z are elements of M , and consider

$$(7.9) \quad |P_t(f)(x) - P_t(f)(z)|.$$

If $d(x, z) \geq t$, then

$$(7.10) \quad \begin{aligned} |P_t(f)(x) - P_t(f)(z)| &\leq |P_t(f)(x) - f(x)| + |f(x) - f(z)| + |P_t(f)(z) - f(z)| \\ &\leq \|f\|_{\text{Lip } \alpha} (2t^\alpha + d(x, z)^\alpha) \leq 3t^{\alpha-1} \|f\|_{\text{Lip } \alpha} d(x, z). \end{aligned}$$

Assume instead that $d(x, z) \leq t$. In this case we write $P_t(f)(x) - P_t(f)(z)$ as

$$(7.11) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_M (\phi_t(x, y) - \phi_t(z, y)) f(y) d\mu(y) \\ &= \int_M (\phi_t(x, y) - \phi_t(z, y)) (f(y) - f(x)) d\mu(y), \end{aligned}$$

using (7.5). This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.12) \quad & |P_t(f)(x) - P_t(f)(z)| \\
& \leq \int_M |\phi_t(x, y) - \phi_t(z, y)| |f(y) - f(x)| d\mu(y) \\
& \leq (2t)^\alpha \|f\|_{\text{Lip } \alpha} \int_{\overline{B}(x, 2t)} |\phi_t(x, y) - \phi_t(z, y)| d\mu(y),
\end{aligned}$$

where the second step relies on the observation that $\phi_t(x, y) - \phi_t(z, y)$ is supported, as a function of y , in the set

$$(7.13) \quad \overline{B}(x, t) \cup \overline{B}(z, t) \subseteq \overline{B}(x, 2t).$$

Of course

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.14) \quad & \phi_t(x, y) - \phi_t(z, y) \\
& = (\rho_t(x)^{-1} - \rho_t(z)^{-1}) p_t(x, y) + \rho_t(z)^{-1} (p_t(x, y) - p_t(z, y)).
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$(7.15) \quad |p_t(x, y) - p_t(z, y)| \leq t^{-1} d(x, z)$$

for all y in M . To see this, it is convenient to write $p_t(u, v)$ as $\lambda_t(d(u, v))$, where $\lambda_t(r)$ is defined for $r \geq 0$ by $\lambda_t(r) = 1 - t^{-1}r$ when $0 \leq r \leq t$, and $\lambda_t(r) = 0$ when $r \geq t$. It is easy to check that λ_t is t^{-1} -Lipschitz, and hence $\lambda_t(d(u, v))$ is t^{-1} -Lipschitz on M as a function of u for each fixed v , since $d(u, v)$ is 1-Lipschitz as a function of u for each fixed v . These computations and the doubling condition for μ permit one to show that

$$(7.16) \quad \int_{\overline{B}(x, 2t)} |\phi_t(x, y) - \phi_t(z, y)| d\mu(y) \leq C_1 t^{-1} d(x, z)$$

for some positive real number C_1 which does not depend on x, z , or t . (Exercise.) Altogether, we obtain that

$$(7.17) \quad \|P_t(f)\|_{\text{Lip } 1} \leq \max(3, 2^\alpha C_1) t^{\alpha-1} \|f\|_{\text{Lip } \alpha}.$$

8 A kind of Calderón–Zygmund decomposition related to Lipschitz functions

Let $(M, d(x, y))$ be a metric space, and let f be a real-valued function on M . Consider the associated maximal function

$$(8.1) \quad N(f)(x) = \sup_{\substack{y \in M \\ y \neq x}} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{d(y, x)},$$

where this supremum may be $+\infty$.

Let L be a positive real number, and put

$$(8.2) \quad F_L = \{x \in M : N(f)(x) \leq L\}.$$

We shall assume for the rest of this section that

$$(8.3) \quad F_L \neq \emptyset.$$

As in Section 6, define $A_L(f)$ by

$$(8.4) \quad A_L(f)(x) = \inf\{f(w) + L d(x, w) : w \in M\}.$$

We shall address the finiteness of this infimum in a moment. As before,

$$(8.5) \quad A_L(f)(x) \leq f(x)$$

for all x in M .

If u is any element of F_L , then

$$(8.6) \quad |f(y) - f(u)| \leq L d(y, u)$$

for all y in M . Let x and w be arbitrary points in M . The preceding inequality implies that

$$(8.7) \quad f(u) \leq f(w) + L d(u, w),$$

and hence

$$(8.8) \quad \begin{aligned} f(u) - L d(x, u) &\leq f(w) + L (d(u, w) - d(x, u)) \\ &\leq f(w) + L d(x, w), \end{aligned}$$

by the triangle inequality. This yields

$$(8.9) \quad f(u) - L d(x, u) \leq A_L(f)(x),$$

which includes the finiteness of $A_L(f)(x)$. If we take $x = u$, then we get $f(u) \leq A_L(f)(u)$, so that

$$(8.10) \quad f(u) = A_L(f)(u) \quad \text{for all } u \in F_L.$$

For $x \notin F_L$, we obtain

$$(8.11) \quad f(x) - 2L d(x, u) \leq A_L(f)(x)$$

for all u in F_L , by combining (8.9) and (8.6) with $y = x$. In other words,

$$(8.12) \quad f(x) - A_L(f)(x) \leq 2L \operatorname{dist}(x, F_L).$$

Note that $A_L(f)$ is L -Lipschitz on M , by Lemma 1.11.

In the same way, if

$$(8.13) \quad B_L(f)(x) = \sup\{f(w) - L d(x, w) : w \in M\},$$

then

$$(8.14) \quad f(x) \leq B_L(f)(x) \leq f(x) + 2L \operatorname{dist}(x, F_L)$$

for all x in M , and $B_L(f)$ is L -Lipschitz.

References

- [AmbT] L. Ambrosio and P. Tilli, *Selected Topics on “Analysis on Metric Spaces”*, Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 2000.
- [As1] P. Assouad, *Espaces Métriques, Plongements, Facteurs*, Thèse de Doctorat (January, 1977), Université de Paris XI, 91405 Orsay, France.
- [As2] P. Assouad, *Étude d’une dimension métrique liée à la possibilité de plongement dans $\mathbf{R}^n$* , Comptes Rendus de l’Académie des Sciences Paris, Sér. A **288** (1979), 731–734.
- [As3] P. Assouad, *Plongements Lipschitziens dans $\mathbf{R}^n$* , Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France **111** (1983), 429–448.
- [CoiW1] R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Analyse Harmonique Non-Commutative sur Certains Espaces Homogènes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **242**, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [CoiW2] R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society **83** (1977), 569–645.

- [DeVS] R. DeVore and R. Sharpley, *Maximal Functions Measuring Smoothness*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society **293**, 1984.
- [Dur] P. Duren, *Theory of H^p Spaces*, Academic Press, 1970.
- [FolS] G. Folland and E. Stein, *Hardy Spaces on Homogeneous Groups*, Princeton University Press, 1982.
- [Hei] J. Heinonen, *Lectures on Analysis on Metric Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 2001.
- [Kig] J. Kigami, *Analysis on Fractals*, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [Kra] S. Krantz, *Lipschitz spaces, smoothness of functions, and approximation theory*, Expositiones Mathematicæ **3** (1983), 193–260.
- [MacS] R. Macias and C. Segovia, *Lipschitz functions on spaces of homogeneous type*, Advances in Mathematics **33** (1979), 257–270.
- [Rud] W. Rudin, *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, 1976.
- [Sar] D. Sarason, *Function Theory on the Unit Circle*, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, 1978.
- [Sem1] S. Semmes, *Metric spaces and mappings seen at many scales*, appendix in *Metric Structures for Riemannian and Non-Riemannian Spaces*, M. Gromov et al., Birkhäuser, 1999.
- [Sem2] S. Semmes, *Derivatives and difference quotients for Lipschitz or Sobolev functions on various spaces*, in *Lecture Notes on Analysis in Metric Spaces*, edited by L. Ambrosio and F. Serra Cassano, 71–103, Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 2000.
- [Ste1] E. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton University Press, 1970.
- [Ste2] E. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [SteW] E. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton University Press, 1971.

- [TaiW] M. Taibleson and G. Weiss, *The molecular characterization of certain Hardy spaces*, Astérisque **77**, 67–149, Société Mathématique de France, 1980.
- [Zyg] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, Volumes I and II, Cambridge University Press, 1979.